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Aerobic Exercise for Reducing Migraine Burden: Mechanisms, Markers, and Models of Change Processes
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Background.—Engagement in regular exercise routinely is recommended as an intervention for managing and preventing migraine, and yet empirical support is far from definitive. We possess at best a weak understanding of how aerobic exercise and resulting change in aerobic capacity influence migraine, let alone the optimal parameters for exercise regimens as migraine therapy (eg, who will benefit, when to prescribe, optimal types, and doses/intensities of exercise, level of anticipated benefit). These fundamental knowledge gaps critically limit our capacity to deploy exercise as an intervention for migraine.

Overview.—Clear articulation of the markers and mechanisms through which aerobic exercise confers benefits for migraine would prove invaluable and could yield insights on migraine pathophysiology. Neurovascular and neuroinflammatory pathways, including an effect on obesity or adiposity, are obvious candidates for study given their role both in migraine as well as the changes known to accrue with regular exercise. In addition to these biological pathways, improvements in aerobic fitness and migraine alike also are mediated by changes in psychological and sociocognitive factors. Indeed a number of specific mechanisms and pathways likely are operational in the relationship between exercise and migraine improvement, and it remains to be established whether these pathways operate in parallel or synergistically. As heuristics that might conceptually benefit our research programs here forward, we: (1) provide an extensive listing of potential mechanisms and markers that could account for the effects of aerobic exercise on migraine and are worthy of empirical exploration and (2) present two exemplar conceptual models depicting pathways through which exercise may serve to reduce the burden of migraine.

Conclusion.—Should the promise of aerobic exercise as a feasible and effective migraine therapy be realized, this line of endeavor stands to benefit migraineurs (including the many who presently remain suboptimally treated) by providing a new therapeutic avenue as an alternative or augmentative compliment to established interventions for migraine.
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INTRODUCTION

Habitual aerobic exercise (ie, activities that utilize large muscle groups repetitively and maintain an increased heart rate for sustained periods of time) can prevent or reduce symptoms of several chronic diseases and medical conditions. Aerobic exercise may seem a well-established therapeutic avenue for reducing migraine burden. As evidence, numerous major health and medical organizations recommend that patients engage in regular exercise as a means of managing and preventing migraine (eg, the American Academy of Neurology, American College of Physicians, American Headache Society, and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke). While there is some empirical support for this recommendation, the extant research is far from definitive. We lack answers to the most fundamental questions regarding the relationship between aerobic exercise and migraine: (1) Which individuals with migraine benefit most from exercise?; (2) Is there an exercise-migraine relief dose response curve?; (3) Can exercise precipitate a migraine attack, and if so, why and can this be prevented?; (4) What types and intensities of aerobic exercise are optimal?; (5) Is aerobic exercise an effective primary treatment?; (6) Are the benefits of aerobic exercise synergistic with other behavioral or pharmacologic interventions?; and (7) What mechanisms (biological, psychological) underlie the potential efficacy of aerobic exercise as a treatment for migraine?

We recently conducted a systematic review that revealed headache interventions incorporating aerobic exercise can yield at least modestly beneficial outcomes for migraine (total n = 9 studies).1 Virtually all of the studies reported reductions in headache frequency and/or intensity. Seven of nine studies reported improvements in at least one secondary outcome (health related quality of life (HRQoL, n = 3; disability, n = 3; depression, n = 5), and no study reporting worsening of migraine. Despite the apparent consistency in these findings, it is a challenge to draw conclusions about the independent effects of exercise as most published reports to date (and all studies reviewed by Baillie et al1) have examined exercise as only one element of multicomponent migraine interventions. Published data also are less than definitive in that only two of nine studies Baillie et al1 reviewed were randomized, controlled trials, and exercise varied among studies in type, frequency duration and intensity. Earlier reviewers2 were similarly critical of this literature's methodology, reporting the recommendation for exercise as a migraine treatment deserved a grade of only B-C (based on evidence-based medicine principles: no randomized, controlled trials; evidence drawn from individual cohort studies, outcomes research, and case–control studies). Despite these methodological limitations, available evidence from the few trials in which aerobic exercise was the primary intervention for migraine headache activity reveals a mean reduction in headache frequency of approximately 40% at posttreatment,3-6 suggesting that exercise as a sole intervention may yield headache improvement on par with established behavioral and pharmacological interventions for migraine.

Thus, much remains to be learned regarding the specific effects of aerobic exercise on migraine, and there is a great deal more yet to be explored regarding the physiologic and psychological mechanisms in aerobic exercise that play a role in improving migraine activity. Fortunately a number of valuable insights can be gleaned from one of the better trials to date.7 In their 3-group randomized, controlled trial, Varkey et al7 compared aerobic exercise with topiramate or relaxation training. All three interventions proved beneficial and equivalent...
with respect to their primary outcome variable (number of headache attacks). Adverse events were not reported in the aerobic exercise or relaxation training groups but occurred in one-third of patients in the topiramate group. Study attrition rates were low for exercise (16%), relaxation training (13%), and higher for topiramate (32%). Predictably, maximal oxygen intake significantly increased for the exercise group only. While we find considerable value in this work, we concur with Ahn in his assertion that Varkey et al’s results are generalizable only to persons with 2-8 migraine days per month. Differential benefits by treatment group might have emerged if persons with more frequent or severe migraine had been enrolled.

Even in the absence of definitive research, a prescription of aerobic exercise may be well advised for migraineurs. There is suggestive evidence that exercise is beneficial for migraine, as it can confer multiple health benefits (eg, improvements in weight management, sleep regulation, mood, cardiovascular function) without causing harm or side effects. Exercise also proves beneficial in the management of multiple other conditions that frequently are comorbid with migraine (eg, obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, sleep apnea, depression, anxiety).9-12

It may prove worthwhile to examine parallels between the exercise and depression literature versus the exercise and migraine literature. For example, as in the migraine/exercise arena, experiential evidence gleaned over the last 30 years consistently was interpreted as linking exercise with improvements in mental health outcomes. However, research empirically establishing the efficacy of exercise as a viable intervention for clinical populations diagnosed with depression remained relatively absent until the last decade.13 There now exists substantial empirical evidence boasting the antidepressive effect of exercise, with significant improvements in depressive symptoms equal to or better than antidepressant drugs alone.14 Though antidepressant medications may facilitate more rapid therapeutic responses, an aerobic exercise training program of the appropriate frequency, intensity, and duration can be equally effective for reducing depression in adults.14,15 As such, adequate doses (45-60 minutes) of regular (3-5 days per week), moderate-to-vigorous (50-85% of maximum heart rate) aerobic exercise may be considered an alternative to pharmaceutical therapies, with noticeable benefits in as little as four weeks. Unfortunately, the evidence-base definitively establishing the value of exercise as a viable intervention for clinical populations with migraine is still nascent.

This body of literature addressing exercise and depression also may be particularly helpful for elucidating potential mechanisms linking exercise and migraine improvement, as the antidepressive effect of exercise may well be conferred through similar biological and psychological mechanisms, and certain antidepressant medications have shown success in reducing migraine frequency and severity.16,17 Studies thus far have shown that the therapeutic effect of aerobic activity on depression may be mediated through enhanced endorphin levels and neurotransmitter function, improved hormone regulation, decreased inflammation, and, like antidepressants, increased serotonergic activation and neurogenesis.18,21 Improvements in self-efficacy and self-esteem also are associated with improvements in symptoms of both depression and migraine.22-24 As it pertains to migraine, the progress documented over the last three decades in the exercise and depression literature provides an excellent model for determining effective guidelines for exercise as a migraine therapy.

Moreover, an exercise intervention may prove well-suited for migraineurs given their tendency toward inactivity and the reported linear association between low physical activity and greater migraine frequency.30 Unfortunately, the available evidence sheds no light on whether migraine contributes to low levels of physical activity or vice versa, and there is conflicting evidence on whether migraine is a barrier to exercise participation; perhaps fear that exercise may trigger and/or exacerbate headaches leads to reduced activity.31-33 Despite the ancillary advantages, it is nevertheless essential to develop an evidence base addressing
the specific effects of exercise on migraine itself in order to optimize treatment recommendations.

Does exercise trigger migraine? This a reasonable question given that exacerbation of headache by physical activity is a defining diagnostic feature of migraine according to the ICHD. The ICHD criteria list exacerbation of headache episodes by physical activity/exercise as a cardinal feature of migraine – not the triggering of headache. In contrast with migraine, physical activity/exercise serves as a trigger for Primary Exercise Headache (ICHD 4.2). Nevertheless, some migraineurs believe that exercise can trigger migraine. For example, retrospective surveys have suggested between 22% and 38% of patients report a lifetime history of at least one exercise-triggered migraine attack. But the latter findings may have been influenced by recall bias as evidence from laboratory and intervention trials does not support the assertion that exercise-induced headache applies to the typical migraineur. In published trials prospectively examining aerobic exercise as a treatment for migraine, there have been few reports of exercise-induced headache. For example, Varkey et al reported a 0.1% incidence of migraine occurring in proximity to exercise in one trial, and reported no adverse headache events in a later trial. In future investigations it would be prudent to track and report incidence of migraine in proximity to exercise. Interestingly, although “exacerbation of headache by physical activity” is incorporated into the ICHD criteria for migraine, a recent study by Bond et al found no association between objectively measured daily physical activity and how often physical activity was cited as an exacerbating factor in migraine attacks during a 4-week diary assessment. Therefore, and contrary to popular belief, physical activity may not play an important role in triggering or exacerbating migraine.

Mechanisms and Markers.—If aerobic exercise demonstrably reduces the frequency, duration, severity or associated disability of migraine, then we must articulate the mechanisms and pathways that confer these benefits. These insights could inform the development of optimal exercise programs and shed light on the pathophysiology of migraine.

Perhaps the most common mechanistic conceptualization implies that aerobic exercise confers its benefit on migraine via exercise-induced enhancements in aerobic fitness. This conceptualization is broad as the benefits of increased aerobic fitness could be mediated by any number of cardiopulmonary, inflammatory, and neurovascular processes. As a neurovascular and neuroinflammatory disorder, the physiology of migraine overlaps with the physiology of aerobic fitness in multiple ways. Beyond biological pathways, improvements in aerobic fitness and migraine may be mediated by overlapping changes in sociocognitive factors, such as self-efficacy beliefs and outcome expectations for both exercise participation and migraine self-management. In reality, there are a number of specific mechanisms and pathways that potentially could be operational in the relationship between exercise and migraine improvement, and these mechanistic pathways may be parallel and synergistic.

Hindiyeh et al and Ahn have identified mechanisms common to both exercise and migraine including endogenous opioids, endocannabinoids, calcitonin gene-related peptides (CGRP), and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). Additional biological pathways potentially linking aerobic exercise to migraine are listed in Table 1. We do not profess to have included all possible candidate mechanisms; rather, we present candidates worthy of consideration and further exploration based on our review of the evidence and our assessment of their potential applicability. For each mechanism or marker listed we cite sample evidence supporting that they are: (1) affected by exercise; and (2) linked to migraine.

The mechanisms and markers in Table 1 can variously be characterized as neuroinflammatory, neurovascular, neurolimbic, neuroendocrine, and/or psychological and behavioral. These processes are known based on the exercise literature to be operational in exercise physiology, and based on the headache literature to be operational in migraine pathophysiology. Any one or more of these mechanisms or markers, operating independently, synergistically, or perhaps antagonistically, may play a role in the link between aerobic exercise and migraine improvement.
Conceptual Models Articulating the Role of Exercise in Reducing the Burden of Migraine: A Complex Exemplar Model.—Listing these potential mechanisms and markers is, of course, only a starting point. The true value of this process will be realized only once we develop an understanding of how these various factors interrelate – in other words, possess a clearly articulated and ultimately validated conceptual model. Conceivably every item listed in Table 1 could be incorporated into a single working model that could guide future research initiatives and priorities. While valuable, such a model by definition would be highly complex. In Figure 1, we present an exemplar of such a conceptual model and include it principally for purposes of illustration of the types of models that can be built and how the various factors might interact. And although it is considerably complex, it stops short of integrating all factors listed in Table 1.

For this illustration, we have conceptualized the impact of aerobic exercise on migraine activity (the primary endpoint of this model) via two distinct, parallel, and interacting submodels: an Aerobic Exercise Sub-model and a Migraine Self-Management Sub-model. 

---

**Table 1.—Mechanisms and Markers Potentially Linking Aerobic Exercise and Migraine Improvement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological (Neuroinflammatory, neurovascular, neurolimbic, neuroendocrine)</th>
<th>Psychological and Behavioral (Social-cognitive, affect and mood state, psychological stress, catastrophizing)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory (IL-6, CRP, IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-8)</td>
<td>Social-cognitive (self-efficacy, outcome expectancies, social support)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular (vasomotor tone/nitric oxide, cerebral blood flow)</td>
<td>Locus of control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuromodulatory (CGRP, BDNF, and opioidergic functions)</td>
<td>Psychological stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical spreading depression</td>
<td>Psychopathology (depression, anxiety)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information processing</td>
<td>Psychological stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal (estrogen, progesterone)</td>
<td>Psychological stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social-cognitive (self-efficacy, outcome expectancies, social support)</td>
<td>Psychological stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus of control</td>
<td>Psychological stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affect and mood state</td>
<td>Psychological stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychopathology (depression, anxiety)</td>
<td>Psychological stress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 1.—Aerobic exercise for reducing migraine burden: a complex model emphasizing social-cognitive factors.
**Submodel and a Migraine Self-Management Submodel** (Fig. 1). The **Aerobic Exercise Submodel** assumes changes in aerobic fitness will influence migraine activity through various physiological and psychological/behavioral pathways. The latter constructs in this sub model are drawn principally from Social-Cognitive Theory as articulated within the exercise literature and pertinent to the engagement in and maintenance of regular aerobic exercise. The constructs in the **Migraine Self-Management Submodel** principally are drawn from Social-Cognitive Theory as well as general models of psychotherapeutic change as articulated in the headache literature. This submodel emphasizes the impact of successful engagement in aerobic exercise on cognitive and behavioral processes thought to mediate or moderate migraine activity.

While these submodels can be conceptualized independently, we acknowledge and expect that they function in an integrated, synergistic fashion. Nevertheless, we have chosen to articulate the overall model in this way not only to delineate between distinct processes occurring within each pathway, but also to acknowledge that the **Migraine Self-Management Submodel** as described likely operates similarly within any self-management approach to migraine treatment (eg, yoga, relaxation, anaerobic exercise).

Intermediate between the two submodels is a characterization of symptoms including: (1) the endpoint (migraine activity); (2) perceived migraine-associated functional capacity; and (3) psychopathology. Including these constructs as such is intended to acknowledge the role and impact of these symptom constellations as either mediators or moderators within both submodels.

We acknowledge that the social-cognitive/behavioral aspects of this model are considerably better developed than the biological aspects — indeed only just a few of the neurophysiologic mechanisms and markers listed in Table 1 have been incorporated and in minimal detail (shaded in red, Fig. 1). The imbalance in this development is, of course, not a necessary feature of an exercise/migraine model and merely reflects the immediate interests of our team. For example, the model does not include weight loss or sleep as potential mediators.

**A Testable, Sample Model Linking Aerobic Exercise and Migraine.**—Although useful as a heuristic, the model in Figure 1 does not lend itself to empirical testing. A simplified and disaggregated model, though less complete, might be more testable. In Figure 2, we present a simplified conceptual model that incorporates just a few of the components drawn from the more complex model and includes only two pathways from aerobic exercise to migraine activity.

Such a simplified model might be examined within a single trial. The components of this simplified model are described below and include a **Neuroinflammatory Pathway**, and a parallel **Social-Cognitive Pathway** linking aerobic exercise to changes in migraine activity. Among the many potential mechanisms and markers that could be tested in a model of aerobic exercise and migraine, we chose these two pathways due to their well-established salience to both aerobic exercise and migraine, the ample empirical support for the hypothesized relationships, and the pertinence of these factors to the authors.
Migraine Activity (primary endpoint).—Migraine activity per unit of time was selected as the primary endpoint of this model as a summary target for the benefits of aerobic exercise. We can conceptualize it as a composite measure that reflects the summed area under the pain-associated symptom-disability-time curves.

Engagement in Aerobic Exercise (independent variable).—Whereas physical activity is broadly defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure, exercise (a subset of physical activity) refers to physical activities that are planned, structured, repetitive, and purposive for the improvement or maintenance of one or more aspects of physical health.101 Aerobic or cardiovascular exercise refers to any form of bodily movement fueled by aerobic energy-generating processes, where the energy demands of the exercise do not exceed the rate at which the cardiovascular system can supply oxygen to working muscles.102 Aerobic activity differs from anaerobic activity based on the duration and intensity of muscular contractions and the processes through which energy is generated within muscles to fuel activity. Typically, aerobic exercises include activities performed at sub-maximal intensities that can endure for extended periods of time (eg, walking, jogging, swimming), whereas anaerobic activities (eg, explosive movements, weight lifting, sprinting) rely primarily on rapid breakdown of glycogen in the absence of oxygen. When there is a shortage of oxygen, as in anaerobic activity, glycogen metabolism results in a buildup of lactate that prevents prolonged activity. Aerobic exercise refers to those activities that can be performed at low enough intensities that carbohydrates from glycogen and fat reserves can be aerobically metabolized into energy (oxidation of glucose into pyruvate) over longer periods of time without generating high concentrations of lactate. The US Department of Health and Human Services, the Guidelines from the American College of Sports Medicine, and the American Heart Association recommend all adults accumulate either 150 minutes per week of moderate-intensity aerobic activity or 75 minutes per week of vigorous-intensity aerobic activity (in sustained bouts of at least 10 minutes in duration) for the purpose of promoting and maintaining health benefits.103,104

Neuroinflammatory Pathway.—In this model, the inflammatory pathway refers to the balance of anti-inflammatory and proinflammatory processes. Imbalances arise due to abnormalities of systemic anti-inflammatory feedback and hyperactivity of proinflammatory response mechanisms. Abnormalities within the adaptive systems responsible for inflammation regulation may result in failure to effectively resolve inflammation, which contributes to the pathogenesis of various physical and mental health conditions (eg, obesity, depression, cardiovascular disease) including migraine.

Given that inflammatory mechanisms feature prominently in migraine pain, some researchers have hypothesized that migraine is a syndrome of self-limited neurogenic inflammation.43,44,105,106 Though there are competing arguments to this claim, elevated plasma concentrations of C-reactive protein (CRP), pro-inflammatory cytokines (eg, CGRP, substance P) and alterations in adipokines (eg, TNF-α, IL-6), have been implicated in migraine pathogenesis.107-110 Aberrant systemic inflammation exacerbates the migraine process, and modest improvements in the presence and regulation of systemic and neurogenic inflammation may therefore yield migraine improvement – even in the absence of a chronic, inflammatory disorder.111-115 Studies consistently show an inverse association between inflammatory markers and physical activity, particularly with long-term engagement in exercise accompanied by weight loss.45,116 Small scale interventions suggest exercise participation can diminish inflammation, implying that exercise targets inflammatory pathways potentially responsible for reducing pain and disability.46 Change in inflammation may be more likely to occur with moderate-vigorous physical activity, and regular and chronic exercise not only leads to lowering of systemic inflammatory markers, but reduces inflammatory responses to acute bouts of exercise.45,47,116,117 In terms of migraine, either or both of these mechanisms may be operating. Given the absence of basal inflammatory elevation in
migraine, we would not expect to find a dramatic reduction in neuroinflammation following exercise intervention. Nevertheless, the fact that exercise can change inflammatory responses in acute exercise suggests that exercise may play a role in migraine activity as much of the neurogenic inflammatory dysregulation characterized in migraine is observed acutely during migraine attacks. As a result of regular engagement in exercise, the anti-inflammatory response of even an acute bout of exercise may offer protection against systemic and neurovascular inflammation.47

Social-Cognitive Pathway.—Our model includes two distinct measures of efficacy expectancies (self-efficacy) rooted in Social-Cognitive Theory40,41,99 and relevant to the impact of aerobic exercise in migraine activity: Self-Efficacy for Exercise Engagement (perceived capability and confidence to engage in regular exercise despite the presence of barriers), and Self-Efficacy for Migraine Self-Management (perceived capability and confidence to manage migraine). Behavioral migraine therapies repeatedly have been shown to enhance self-efficacy for managing headaches, and, in turn, headache treatment outcomes.25,75-78 Both forms of self-efficacy are posited to play a role in the symptom improvements achieved with aerobic exercise for migraine. Although conceptualized as separate and distinct from the aerobic and inflammatory pathways, efficacy expectancies are likely to exert an influence that is complimentary or synergistic.

Our model also includes two distinct measures of outcome expectancies41,81,118,119 likewise rooted in Social-Cognitive Theory that refer to judgments about potential migraine outcomes likely to stem from either engagement in aerobic exercise or in migraine self-management behaviors: Outcome Expectancies for Exercise Engagement (one’s evaluation of whether participating in regular exercise will result in improvements in migraine activity) and Outcome Expectancies for Migraine Self-Management (one’s evaluation of whether engaging in migraine self-management strategies will result in improvements in migraine activity). As a rule, assessment of self-efficacy, particularly in relation to pain management, should be accompanied by an assessment of outcome expectancies to best predict future pain management behaviors.120

CONCLUSION

Although engagement in regular exercise routinely is recommended as a means of managing and preventing migraine, the empirical support for this recommendation is well less than definitive, and we have at best a weak understanding of the relationship between aerobic exercise and migraine. Let alone the optimal parameters of exercise regimens for migraine (eg, when to recommend, who will benefit, level of anticipated benefit, optimal types and doses/intensities of exercise). These fundamental knowledge gaps critically limit our capacity to competently deploy exercise as an intervention for migraine. Definitive information is needed regarding the genuine and unique contributions of aerobic exercise for migraine management as well as the capacity for this intervention strategy to be administered as a complement to extant, well-validated pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic migraine therapies.

Assuming the value of aerobic exercise is confirmed for reducing the burden of migraine (we assume it likely will be), then a clear articulation of the mechanisms and pathways through which such benefits are conferred would prove invaluable for informing implementation of exercise therapy for migraine. Moreover, this line of research conceivably will yield new insights on migraine pathophysiology. Once the data are in, we may learn that a number of specific mechanisms and pathways are operational in the relationship between exercise and migraine improvement, and it of course remains to be seen whether these pathways operate in parallel or synergistically. We know fundamentally that regular engagement in aerobic exercise yields fitness enhancements are mediated through changes in a number of cardiopulmonary, inflammatory, and neurovascular processes. Given that migraine is both a neurovascular and a neuroinflammatory disorder, inflammatory, and neurovascular pathways are obvious candidates for study as mechanistic pathways. Beyond neurophysiologic pathways, improvements in aerobic fitness and
migraine likely are mediated by overlapping changes in psychological, behavioral, and sociocognitive factors (eg, self-efficacy beliefs, outcome expectancies) for both exercise participation and migraine self-management.

Hoping to facilitate and encourage research in this arena, we have articulated a variety of potential mechanisms and markers that could account for the effects of aerobic exercise on migraine and are worthy of empirical exploration. It is not our intention to present this as a definitive listing of all possible candidate mechanisms, but we anticipate our readers may share our surprise upon learning just how many factors may be operational – let alone how complex their interrelationships may be. For purposes of illustration, we have included two conceptual models – one quite complex, the other simpler and more pragmatic. Once again, it is not our intention to present these as definitive models but rather as heuristics that not only might demonstrate the potential complexity of these mechanisms but also might benefit conceptually our research programs here forward. Should the promise of aerobic exercise as a feasible and effective migraine therapy be realized, this line of endeavor stands to benefit migraineurs (including the many who presently remain suboptimally treated) by providing a new therapeutic avenue as an alternative or augmentative compliment to established interventions for migraine.
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